1	ANSC	
2	TYSON & MENDES LLP THOMAS E. MCGRATH	
	Nevada Bar No. 7086	
3	Email: tmcgrath@tysonmendes.com CHRISTOPHER A. LUND	FILED -
4	Nevada Bar No. 12435	_
5	Email: clund@tysonmendes.com 8275 South Eastern Avenue, Suite 115	NOV 0 6 2017
3	Las Vegas, Nevada 89123	NEVADA COMMISSION OF A COMMON INTEREST COMMUNITIES
6	Tel: (702) 724-2648 Fax: (702) 938-1048	AND CONDOMINIUM HOTELS
7	Attorneys for Cimarron Ridge Association, Richard Shinn, and Maggie Shinn	- Town Control of the
8		
9	BEFORE THE COMMISSION OF COMMON-INTEREST	
	COMMUNITIES AND CONDOMINIUM HOTELS STATE OF NEVADA	
10		_
11	Sharath Chandra, Administrator, Real Estate	Case No: 2017-1368
12	Division, Department of Business & Industry, State of Nevada,	
	Julie Ci i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	RESPONDENTS CIMARRON RIDGE,
13	Petitioner,	RICHARD SHINN, AND MAGGIE
14	vs.	SHINN'S RESPONSE TO COMPLAINT FOR DISCIPLINARY ACTION AND
15	Cimarron Ridge Association, Richard Shinn,	NOTICE OF HEARING
	Maggie Shinn, and Jason Bishop,	
16		-
17	Respondents.	
18	Respondents Cimarron Ridge Association, Richard Shinn, and Maggie Shinn (collectively	
19	referred to as "these answering Respondents") by and through the law firm of Tyson & Mendes LLP,	
20	hereby respond to the allegations in Petitioners' Complaint for Disciplinary Action as follows:	
21	JURISDICTION AND NOTICE	
22	1. Answering paragraph 1 of the allegations entitled "Jurisdiction and Notice" of the	
23	Complaint, these answering Respondents admit that at various times Richard Shinn ("Mr. Shinn"),	
24	Maggie Shinn ("Ms. Shinn"), and Jason Bishop ("Mr. Bishop") have all served as board members	
25	and/or officers of Cimarron Ridge Association (the "HOA"). These answering Respondents are	
26	without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of any remaining factual	
27	allegations contained therein and therefore, on that basis, denies the same.	
28	2. Answering paragraph 2 of the a	llegations entitled "Jurisdiction and Notice" of the

Complaint, these answering Respondents assert that said paragraph contains and/or constitutes a legal conclusion, to which no response is required. To the extent said paragraph is determined to contain factual allegations, these answering Respondents are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of any factual allegations contained therein and therefore, on that basis, denies the same.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 3. Answering paragraph 3 of the allegations entitled "Factual Allegations" of the Complaint, these answering Respondents are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of any factual allegations contained therein and therefore, on that basis, denies the same.
- 4. Answering paragraph 4 of the allegations entitled "Factual Allegations" of the Complaint, these answering Respondents admit the allegations.
- 5. Answering paragraph 5 of the allegations entitled "Factual Allegations" of the Complaint, these answering Respondents admit Mr. Shinn and Ms. Shinn are husband and wife and that for a time period Mr. Shinn and Ms. Shinn were serving on the board together. However, Ms. Shinn has not been on the board for some time.
- 6. Answering paragraph 6 of the allegations entitled "Factual Allegations" of the Complaint, these answering Respondents deny the allegations and assert the 2016 annual registration form is signed by Mr. Shinn and only lists Mr. Shinn and Mrs. Shinn as having the same address.
- 7. Answering paragraph 7 of the allegations entitled "Factual Allegations" of the Complaint, these answering Respondents admit the HOA has had multiple community management companies since 2015, but is without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of any remaining factual allegations contained therein and therefore, on that basis, denies the same.
- 8. Answering paragraph 8 of the allegations entitled "Factual Allegations" of the Complaint, these answering Respondents deny the allegations.
- 9. Answering paragraph 9 of the allegations entitled "Factual Allegations" of the Complaint, these answering Respondents are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of any factual allegations contained therein and therefore, on that basis, denies

the same.

VIOLATIONS OF LAW

10. Answering paragraphs 10 through 13 of the allegations entitled "Violations of Law" of the Complaint, these answering Respondents assert that said paragraphs contain and/or constitute legal conclusions, to which no response is required. To the extent said paragraphs are determined to contain factual allegations, these answering Respondents deny the same.

DISCIPLINE AUTHORIZED

11. Answering paragraphs 1 through 8 of the allegations entitled "Discipline Authorized" of the Complaint, these answering Respondents assert that said paragraphs contain and/or constitute legal conclusions, to which no response is required. To the extent said paragraphs are determined to contain factual allegations, these answering Respondents are without sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of any factual allegations contained therein and therefore, on that basis, denies the same.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

Affirmative Defense One: Failure to State a Claim. The allegations contained in the Complaint fail to state a cause of action against these answering Respondents upon which relief can be granted.

Affirmative Defense Two: Substantial compliance. These answering Respondents substantially complied with the administrative regulations and statutes at issue in this case.

Affirmative Defense Three: Lack of intent. These answering Respondents did not have any intention of violating the law and used their best efforts to comply with the law and fulfill their obligations.

Affirmative Defense Four: Best efforts. In all their actions in serving as board members to the HOA, Mr. Shinn and Mrs. Shinn acted in good faith in their attempts to comply with the administrative regulations and statutes at issue in this case.

Affirmative Defense Five: Unforeseen circumstances. These answering Respondents' actions are excused due to unforeseen circumstances and were at all relevant times taken for the benefit of the HOA.

Affirmative Defense Six: Necessity. These answering Respondents' actions are excused by

necessity and were at all relevant times performed for the benefit of the HOA. 1 2 PRAYER FOR RELIEF 3 WHEREFORE, these answering Respondents prays as follows: 1. That this matter be dismissed, 4 That the Commission not discipline these answering Respondents, and 5 2. For such other and further relief as may be deemed just and proper by the 3. 7 Commission. DATED this 6th day of November, 2017. 8 9 TYSON & MENDES LLP 10 11 12 Nevada Bar No. 7086 CHRISTOPHER A. LUND 13 Nevada Bar No. 12435 8275 South Eastern Avenue, Suite 115 14 Las Vegas, Nevada 89123 Tel: (702) 724-2648 15 Attorneys for Cimarron Ridge Association, Richard Shinn, and Maggie Shinn 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned, an employee of Tyson & Mendes LLP, hereby certifies that on the 6th day		
of November, 2017, a copy of RESPONDENTS CIMARRON RIDGE, RICHARD SHINN, AND		
MAGGIE SHINN'S RESPONSE TO COMPLAINT FOR DISCIPLINARY ACTION AND		
NOTICE OF HEARING, was served by U.S. Mail addressed to:		

Sharath Chandra, Administrator
Real Estate Division,
Department of Business & Industry
State of Nevada
3300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 350
Las Vegas, NV 89102
Petitioner

And was served by U.S. Mail and email, addressed to:

Michelle D. Briggs
Senior Deputy Attorney General
555 E. Washington Ave., Ste. 3900
Las Vegas, NV 89101
mbriggs@ag.nv.gov
Attorneys for Nevada Real Estate Division

An employed of Tyson & Mendes LLP